

Nebraska Medical Cannabis Commission Meeting

July 20, 2026

1:01 PM – 3:09 PM

301 Centennial Mall South - 1st Floor Hearing Room, Lincoln, NE 68509

Notice of this meeting was posted on June 8, 2026, on the Nebraska Medical Cannabis Commission website (mcc.nebraska.gov) and the Nebraska Public Meeting Calendar (www.nebraska.gov/calendar).

APPROVED MINUTES

1. Call to Order/Open Meetings Act Notification.

The meeting was called to order by the Commissioner, Lorelle Mueting, on July 20, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. Present were Commission members, Lorelle Mueting, J. Michael Coffey, and James Elworth establishing a quorum. Commissioner Robert Synhorst was absent. A copy of the Open meetings Act was available for review at the back of the room.

2. Roll Call

James Elworth- present

Lorelle Mueting – present

J. Michael Coffey – present

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes of June 22 meeting

Commissioner Mueting states that the next order of business is the approval of minutes from the meeting held on June 22, 2026.

Commissioner Elworth submitted corrections and that got noted.

Commissioner Mueting asked for a motion and a second to approve the minutes of the June 22 meeting.

Motion to approve the minutes from the June 22 meeting was made by Commissioner Elworth and seconded by Commissioner Coffey.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

4. Public Comment Period

Commissioner Mueting noted that there will be an opportunity for the public to comment.

She stated that the public comment portion of this Commission meeting was not a question-and-answer session and that defamatory remarks and hostile commentary would not be tolerated. Each speaker is allowed three minutes to speak. The public comment period will be limited to one hour or less if there are not sufficient speakers. She asked each speaker to state and spell their name for the record and asked for a show of hands from the member of the public who wished to comment.

Below is a summary of the public comments received during the meeting. The summary is intended to capture each speaker's primary personal experiences, policy recommendations, implementation issues, industry concerns, and other matters relevant to the Commission's work. The minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript or to include every statement made during public comment.

Donnie R. Johnson

Summary: Mr. Johnson, representing the Johnson and Christian Foundation and Omaha Concerned Citizen Foundation, commented on the development of Nebraska's medical cannabis program and expressed interest in the potential economic and employment opportunities it could create, particularly in North Omaha. He stated that his organizations have had difficulty keeping track of the Commission's work and requested that the Commission continue to keep the Omaha community informed about the development and implementation of the medical cannabis program.

Mr. Johnson discussed his personal experience growing up on a farm and the role agriculture played in generating income for his family and community. He described growing up in an agricultural community where children participated in farming activities and sold produce to local businesses for processing. He said that experience has influenced his interest in helping Baby Boomers (as he considers himself) and others return to farming and ranching to supplement their income and create economic opportunities.

Mr. Johnson also discussed the potential economic impact of the medical cannabis industry and compared the development of cannabis-related businesses to employment and economic activity that followed the end of Prohibition. He emphasized that his interest is in developing lawful economic opportunities and jobs rather than circumventing applicable laws or regulations.

Mr. Johnson further discussed efforts with Senator Fischer to connect refugees with opportunities in cattle feeding, farming, and other agricultural activities and said he would like to see medical cannabis considered as part of broader agricultural and economic development opportunities. He encouraged the Commission to consider how the program could benefit communities such as North Omaha and provide opportunities for individuals interested in agriculture and related industries.

Mr. Johnson also expressed a desire for greater representation and input from Omaha in discussions regarding the medical cannabis program. He encouraged the Commission to maintain communication with Omaha residents and organizations as the program moves forward.

Lia Post

Summary: Ms. Post testified about her personal experience as a legal medical cannabis patient in Nebraska for more than a year. She described living with chronic pain for 11 years and stated that medical cannabis has provided a reduction in her pain for the first time during that period. She discussed the importance of being able to use medical cannabis in the appropriate form and dosage and to identify products and terpenes that work for her individual needs. She stated that access to medical cannabis has given her hope for continued improvement and expressed hope that other Nebraska patients will be able to find products that meet their individual medical needs.

Ms. Post expressed frustration that, despite the Commission having been active for more than a year, Nebraska patients still cannot obtain medical cannabis recommendations from their trusted Nebraska physicians. She questioned whether patients should have to travel to Iowa or other states to access medical cannabis and emphasized that medical conditions do not stop at state borders. She urged the Commission to continue working toward making medical cannabis accessible to Nebraska patients.

Ms. Post also expressed concerns about Commission leadership and described her perception of interactions involving Chair Lorelle Muetting and representatives of the Attorney General's Office during a previous Commission meeting. She questioned whether the Commission's current leadership structure is effectively supporting implementation of the medical cannabis program based on Muetting's role as a prevention director. She further expressed concerns about what she described as the historically hostile treatment from Muetting's place of work of medical cannabis patients and caregivers and the LGBTQ+ community.

Ms. Post discussed the impact that the medical cannabis program and its implementation have had on her personally, stating that she has identified the Commission as a source of stress when discussing her condition with her pain specialist. She also referenced an upcoming MRI and her intention to discuss her experiences with her healthcare provider. Finally, Ms. Post expressed concern about the Governor's five-percent budget reduction and questioned how the reduction could affect the Commission's ability to implement the medical cannabis program and provide patients with access to medical cannabis.

Georgianna Schroeder Stanley

Summary: Ms. Schroeder Stanley discussed comments made by Representative Flood during a recent Bellevue town hall regarding medical marijuana, cannabis, and Delta-8 and Delta-9 products. She stated that she watched the live coverage and reviewed the video multiple times to confirm her understanding of his remarks. She expressed disagreement with what she characterized as Representative Flood's failure to recognize quality of life as a legitimate consideration in the use of medical cannabis.

Ms. Schroeder Stanley discussed her personal experience using THC formulations for pain relief and stated that they have improved her quality of life by reducing pain and preventing pain and other debilitating symptoms from taking time away from her daily life. She stated that, in her view, improving quality of life is a legitimate reason for the use of medical cannabis.

Ms. Schroeder Stanley also addressed Representative Flood's characterization of Delta-8 and Delta-9 products as "junk." She stated that the products she uses have provided effective and safe pain relief for her and that her experiences are representative of those of many other Americans who use cannabis products. She stated that her experiences purchasing products from a hemp dispensary have helped demonstrate to her the potential benefits and safety of the cannabis plant.

Ms. Schroeder Stanley expressed particular concern regarding Representative Flood's comments to the parents of Will, whom she referenced as using a wheelchair. She stated that she was deeply affected by what she perceived as a lack of recognition of the potential for medical cannabis to improve Will's quality of life and expressed disagreement with the position that medical cannabis should not be available to him. Finally, Ms. Schroeder Stanley discussed her interactions with longtime medical cannabis advocates and disagreed with Representative Flood's comments regarding caregiver commitment. She stated that, based on her experiences with medical cannabis advocates, she believes they demonstrate a significant level of commitment to patients and the medical cannabis program.

Marcie Reed

Summary: Ms. Reed stated that she has been involved in efforts to establish access to medical cannabis in Nebraska for approximately six years, including petitioning and advocating for the issue during birthdays, anniversaries, holidays, and other occasions. She referenced hearing other advocates discuss their longstanding efforts and expressed disappointment that access to medical cannabis in Nebraska remains limited.

Ms. Reed expressed particular concern about access for children, including her own child and other children whose parents believe medical cannabis could provide a more natural treatment option. She stated that, while individuals who want cannabis and know how to obtain a medical cannabis card may be able to access it, parents have had difficulty obtaining recommendations for children. She said that several parents have discussed medical cannabis with their physicians and that physicians have indicated they are still waiting for the program to become more accessible.

Ms. Reed discussed her personal experience as the parent of a child who experiences seizures and stated that she has tried multiple treatment options for her child. She expressed frustration that families should not have to move out of Nebraska to obtain access to medical cannabis for their children.

Ms. Reed concluded by expressing sadness and frustration that Nebraska families continue to wait for broader access to medical cannabis and stated that she would like to see access become available sooner rather than later.

Shelly Gillen

Summary: Ms. Gillen stated that she was speaking on behalf of her 24-year-old son, Will, who has a rare catastrophic seizure disorder. She addressed a comment made during the previous Commission meeting in response to Kent Rogert regarding difficulties associated with a stop-work order issued in Washington County. She referenced the Commission's response of, "Let us know how we can help," and expressed concern that the statement was insufficiently proactive.

Ms. Gillen stated that Nebraska voters expected the Commission to take an active leadership role in implementing a comprehensive medical cannabis program, including identifying and removing barriers to patient access. She encouraged the Commission to proactively engage with Washington County and other entities when obstacles arise rather than waiting for patients or businesses to request assistance.

Ms. Gillen emphasized the length of time patients and businesses have already waited for access to medical cannabis and stated that delays have consequences for patients with serious medical conditions, including her son and individuals with cancer, chronic pain, PTSD, and other conditions. She encouraged the Commission to take a proactive and persistent approach to resolving barriers and improving access for Nebraska patients.

Christa Eggers

Summary: Ms. Eggers, Executive Director of Nebraskans for Medical Marijuana, encouraged the Commission to shift its focus from rulemaking to implementation now that the permanent regulations have been adopted. She stated that patients, caregivers, healthcare practitioners, advocates, and industry experts have raised concerns throughout the development of the program and expressed the view that several issues remain unresolved.

Ms. Eggers encouraged the Commission to begin identifying gaps in the current program that cannot be addressed through the existing rules and regulations and may require legislative action during the upcoming legislative session. She emphasized that this work should begin before the legislative session rather than waiting until January.

Ms. Eggers identified several areas she believes require attention as the program moves forward, including:

- Healthcare practitioner protections: Ms. Eggers identified legal protections for healthcare practitioners who recommend medical cannabis as one of the most urgent issues. She referenced legislation considered during the previous legislative session that would have provided protections for practitioners but ultimately did not pass, noting that the Commission did not weigh in on the legislation at that time. She stated that the program cannot function effectively if practitioners are unwilling to participate because of concerns about potential professional or legal consequences.
- Confidentiality of medical information: Ms. Eggers requested protections for sensitive patient and medical information submitted to the Commission, including confidentiality requirements, limitations on who may access the information, and safeguards against misuse. She stated that patients and practitioners should not have to fear that participation in a lawful medical cannabis program could expose private information.
- Laboratory testing: Ms. Eggers identified the establishment of a reliable cannabis laboratory testing system in Nebraska as another critical gap. She stated that testing is necessary to ensure products are safe, accurately labeled, and free from harmful contaminants. She noted that establishing testing licenses will require legislative action and encouraged the Commission to begin developing recommendations now rather than waiting for the legislative session to begin in January.
- Stakeholder engagement: Ms. Eggers encouraged the Commission to consult with patients, healthcare practitioners, advocates, testing experts, licensees, and legislators as implementation decisions and legislative recommendations are developed.

Ms. Eggers also discussed concerns regarding access to healthcare practitioners, the types of products that will be available to patients, and whether patients will be able to obtain the amounts of medical cannabis they need. She encouraged the Commission to consider these issues as it evaluates gaps in the program and prepares for future legislative action.

Ms. Eggers stated that the Commission's approach to outstanding implementation issues could affect public perception of whether the medical cannabis program is intended to succeed. She stated that there is a widespread belief among some stakeholders that the Commission does not want the program to succeed and expressed concern that failing to take proactive action could reinforce that perception. Ms. Eggers encouraged the Commission to draw on the knowledge and experience of patients, healthcare professionals, advocates, industry participants, and other experts, including those present at the meeting. She encouraged the Commission to rely on the knowledge and experience of stakeholders who are actively seeking to support the success of the medical cannabis program and to stop taking direction from individuals or entities she believes do not share that objective.

Troy Burgess

Summary: Mr. Burgess stated that he agreed with concerns raised by Ms. Eggers regarding the need for a cannabis laboratory testing system in Nebraska and offered an industry perspective on the operational barriers created by the lack of available testing laboratories.

Mr. Burgess stated that, even if cannabis is produced by Nebraska cultivators, products cannot move from a cultivator to a manufacturer without required testing. He noted that Nebraska does not currently have a cannabis testing laboratory and stated that products cannot simply be transferred across state lines to an out-of-state laboratory for testing. He further stated that additional testing would be required before products could move from a manufacturer to a retailer. He characterized the lack of a testing pathway as a significant barrier to the movement of cannabis through the supply chain and stated that producing cannabis would have limited value if tested products cannot legally move to the next stage.

Mr. Burgess stated that the Commission does not have the authority to establish testing licenses and that legislative action at the state level will be necessary. He also stated that the Nebraska State Patrol does not have the capacity to perform all of the testing required for the medical cannabis program. He encouraged the Commission and state policymakers to identify a solution to the testing issue and other barriers to implementation.

Mr. Burgess also discussed the need for healthcare practitioner protections. He suggested that, if Nebraska is not prepared to provide legal protections for Nebraska physicians who recommend medical cannabis, another potential approach would be to allow licensed physicians in other states to recommend medical cannabis for Nebraska patients. He stated that patients are currently traveling to other states to obtain access and shared an example of a neighbor with terminal cancer whom he was unable to assist who was directed to seek access in Missouri.

Mr. Burgess expressed concern that establishing cultivator operations alone will not ensure that medical cannabis reaches patients. He stated that the Commission and state policymakers should focus on proactively identifying and eliminating implementation barriers so that patients can access medical cannabis as soon as possible.

Mr. Burgess offered his industry experience and that of other professionals in attendance as a resource to the Commission. He stated that industry participants are willing to assist the Commission in identifying solutions and emphasized that their intent is to help move the program forward and improve access for patients.

Angelica Marsaglia

Summary: Ms. Marsaglia requested that the Commission's public-comment record reflect her pronouns as she/her. She expressed support for LGBTQ+ individuals and stated that she hopes the Commission is working toward an accessible medical cannabis program for Nebraskans of all ages and circumstances, including children, adults, young adults, seniors, individuals with disabilities, veterans, and animals.

Ms. Marsaglia described cannabis as a healing plant and encouraged the Commission to support broader access to cannabis for medical purposes. She also discussed potential environmental applications of hemp and cannabis, including the potential to reduce reliance on plastics and address plastic waste. She stated that hemp and cannabis could have benefits for both human health and the environment and encouraged the Commission to avoid creating unnecessary barriers to access.

5. Program Implementation Update

Commissioner Mueting states the next order of business is a program implementation update.

The Commission recognizes that many members of the public are eager to see the medical cannabis program implemented. Today's updates are intended to provide more details on the work that has occurred since the Legislature approved funding for the Commission and the significant milestones that remain before the program can become operational.

Nebraska's permanent medical cannabis regulations have completed the required review and approval process, including approval by Attorney General Mike Hilgers on June 30, 2026, and approval by Governor Jim Pillen on July 1, 2026.

Today's agenda is designed to walk through foundational efforts and provide updates on the Commission's progress.

Building a new regulatory agency is much like constructing a house. Before patients can receive services, the Commission must first build the foundation, including staffing, budgeting, implementing technology for seed-to-sale tracking, finalizing operational procedures, building administrative systems, and creating processes for licensing, inspections, compliance, and oversight.

Implementing these components requires coordination across multiple state agencies and systems, careful research, and thoughtful planning to ensure the program complies with statutory requirements, protects patients, and operates efficiently. Many of these foundational pieces have already been completed or are underway, and today's meeting highlights progress.

6. Commission Staffing and Operational Update

Commissioner Mueting states the next order of business is Commission staffing and

operational updates.

Prior to receiving legislative funding in April 2026, the Commission had limited ability to establish permanent staffing and the operational capacity necessary to build and administer the medical cannabis program.

In April 2026, the Commission began the necessary process of establishing positions, completing human resources requirements, and conducting interviews. Two dedicated staff members have now joined the Commission: a Program Manager, who began on July 6, 2026, and legal counsel, who began on July 20, 2026.

The Commission greatly appreciates the support and work of the individuals and state partners who helped move the program forward during this initial phase while balancing medical cannabis implementation responsibilities with their existing state duties. Their efforts provided important support as the Commission worked toward establishing dedicated staffing.

7. New Rulemaking and Establishment of Fees

Commissioner Muting states the next order of business is new rulemaking and establishment of fees.

Commissioner Muting states that the Legislature provided the Commission with the ability to collect fees in April 2026. At the time of passage, the Commission had already begun the regulation process to promulgate final regulations. Now that the Commission has final regulations, it can begin the process of updating them to reflect the new authority provided by the Legislature.

The changes that will be voted on will include changes to the following:

- Changing the transporter license to a transporter certification, which will allow product manufacturers to be responsible for the transportation of medical cannabis and medical cannabis products;
- updating the requirements for Seed-to-Sale tracking, as the Commission is currently working on establishing a state inventory tracking system that will coordinate with licensees and allow for more efficient regulation;
- and adding a fee structure for the Commission, which will allow it to continue hiring permanent staff and operate as a regulatory body.

In developing the fee schedule, the Commission reviewed programs in other states with similar populations, regional considerations, and regulatory structures. It also allows the Commission to have the necessary funds to act as a regulatory body which ensures a safe ecosystem for patients, caregivers, licensees, and other shareholders.

Additionally, the Commission is mindful of establishing these changes as quickly as possible. Later, if the Commission wishes to approve these suggested changes, I will ask that the motion be contingent on the review by the Commission's new legal counsel. As

stated earlier, this is his first day, and he should be given some time to review them. But the Commission also wants to begin this process before the August meeting. Hopefully, after review, barring any substantial changes, the Commission will move forward with official publication of the proposed changes and the beginning of the rulemaking process.

Commissioner Mueting asks if there are any additional thoughts on fees or any other suggested changes to the regulations and motions to approve the suggested changes to the regulations contingent upon review by the Commission's new legal counsel.

Commissioner Elworth asked when will the proposed changes be published. Assistant Attorney General Michael Taddonio replied that the updated regulations as they currently stand are available to view but they will not be official until MCC's new counsel has read over them.

Motion is made by Commissioner Elworth with a second from Commissioner Coffey.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

8. Budget, Expenditure and Office Operations Update

Commissioner Mueting announces the next order of business is an update on Commission expenditures, budget needs, and operational planning.

With the Commission's transition of operations, the Commission is responsible for submitting a number of budget-related documents by the end of the month. To assist our new employees in this process, Commissioner Mueting asked for a motion to delegate a commissioner to help MCC's program manager and legal counsel with budget documents that are due within the next few weeks.

Commissioner Mueting volunteered to help if the other Commissioners would allow it. Commissioner Elworth volunteered to help new employees with submitting required budgetary documents.

Commissioner Mueting motioned to delegate Commissioner Elworth as the commissioner to help MCC staff with any budget documents as the staff begins submitting documentation to the necessary parties. Commissioner Coffey seconded.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

Commissioner Mueting says additionally that the Commission has also identified and secured dedicated office space to support its independent operations. This location will provide space for Commission staff, records management, meetings, and daily administrative functions.

As the Commission transitions operations to its new location, the Commission will also

update public information and agency materials to reflect this address change.

9. DAS Human Resources Shared Services Agreement and Lease

Commissioner Mueting announces the next order of business is to consider and/or approve a Memorandum of Agreement with DAS for Human Resources shared services and the lease for office space. The shared services agreement will provide the Commission with access to necessary human resources support as the agency continues establishing its operations. The lease will allow the Commission to continue using its office space and housing its staff.

Commissioner Mueting asks for discussion and then a motion. Commissioner Coffey makes a motion to move forward with approving the shared services agreement with DAS, with a second from Commissioner Elworth.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

Commissioner Mueting asks for a motion to approve the lease with DAS. Commissioner Coffey makes a motion to approve the lease with DAS, with a second from Commissioner Elworth.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

10. Cultivator Update

Commissioner Mueting states that the next order of business is a status update regarding licensed cultivators.

Staff continue to communicate regularly with each licensed cultivator regarding their progress toward operational readiness. This includes monitoring local approvals, facility development, inspection readiness, and applicable state and federal requirements. At this time, the Commission has not received additional requests from other licensed cultivators indicating they are ready for inspection. The Commission remains prepared to conduct inspections as licensees notify us that they have satisfied the applicable readiness requirements.

Since the last meeting, MahāMotā Cultivation Company has completed its inspection and continues working through remaining operational requirements.

Commissioner Mueting stated that KRL Med recently met with the Washington County Attorney to discuss a tentative path forward and invited co-owner Kent Rogert to provide an update.

Mr. Rogert reported that KRL Med met with the Washington County Attorney the

previous Thursday and described the meeting as productive. He stated that the parties identified a path forward that would allow KRL Med to apply for a conditional use permit and the necessary building permits. He noted that the permitting process will require placement on several meeting agendas, which may take some time.

Mr. Rogert also shared that KRL Med has resumed activities necessary to complete construction at the facility and is working toward scheduling its pre-operational inspection once the required local approvals have been obtained. He expressed hope that he would be able to provide another positive update at the Commission's next meeting.

Commissioner Elworth asked whether KRL Med was no longer pursuing an agricultural exemption and was instead seeking a different type of local approval.

Mr. Rogert confirmed that KRL Med and the county had "agreed to disagree" regarding the agricultural exemption. He stated that the county had identified an alternative path forward through the conditional use permit process, contingent upon receiving approval from the county board.

Commissioner Elworth then asked whether KRL Med had been unable to continue work at the site while awaiting those approvals. Mr. Rogert confirmed that construction activities had been paused but stated that KRL Med hoped to resume limited construction activities that could proceed at its own risk while awaiting final county approvals.

Commissioner Coffey asked whether KRL Med was pursuing this new permitting process instead of the previously discussed approach. Mr. Rogert responded that KRL Med intended to pursue the conditional use permit process while keeping other options available if necessary. He added that, at this point, the anticipated timeline was no worse than the alternatives previously under consideration.

Commissioner Mueting continued with the cultivator update, saying Midwest Cultivators Group continues to make progress toward operational readiness. The company has taken possession of its new facility following the Commission's approval of its address change.

Commissioner Mueting added that if the new proposed changes to the regulations are adopted, including the implementation of the Commission's fee structure, then licensed cultivators will transition into an annual licensing framework and will be subject to the applicable licensing and renewal fees established under those regulations, as well as any other applicable fees.

11. Manufacturer Application Update

Commissioner Mueting announced the next order of business is an update regarding manufacturer applications. The Commission began accepting applications for state-licensed product manufacturers on June 22, 2026, and the application window closed on July 20, 2026. As of the preparation of the meeting agenda, the Commission had not received sufficient manufacturer applications.

During discussion, two members of the public voluntarily approached Commission staff and provided manufacturer application materials without being requested to do so. One individual identified their company affiliation when providing materials; another individual provided materials without identifying their company affiliation. No applications were reviewed, considered, or assigned priority status during the meeting. Staff will process any application materials in accordance with the Commission's established application procedures.

Commissioner Muetting asked for a motion to establish the new application deadline.

Commissioner Elworth made a motion to extend the manufacturer application deadline. Commissioner Coffey seconded the motion.

Commissioner Muetting called for discussion. Commissioner Elworth stated that the extension should continue through the next Commission meeting on August 17, 2026, with the option to extend further if needed.

Commissioner Coffey asked whether Commissioner Elworth was amending the motion. Commissioner Elworth confirmed the amendment. Commissioner Muetting restated the motion to extend the manufacturer application deadline until the August 17, 2026, Commission meeting and called for further discussion.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Muetting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

12. Contractor Payment Ratification

Commissioner Muetting announces the next order of business is to ratify the contractor payment in the amount of \$612.50 to West Rim Consulting, LLC.

Commissioner Coffey makes a motion to ratify the contractor payment, with a second from Commissioner Elworth.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Muetting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

13. Reminder of the next regularly scheduled Commission Meeting date and time

Commissioner Muetting announces the next order of business to remind the public of our next regularly scheduled meeting, the next meeting will be August 17, at 1:00 p.m. in this room.

14. Closed Executive Session, if necessary

Commissioner Mueting asks if there is a motion to go into executive session to discuss matters of imminent litigation and for discussion regarding security personnel and devices. Commissioner Elworth made the motion, with Commissioner Coffey seconding.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

Commissioner Mueting stated that the time was 1:43 p.m. and announced that the Commission was entering executive session for the limited purposes of discussing imminent litigation and security personnel and devices.

Upon returning from executive session, Commissioner Mueting stated that the time was 2:35 p.m., the closed session had concluded, and the Commission would return to the agenda.

Commissioner Mueting made a motion to adopt the Scope of Work for Seed-to-Sale Tracking and that MCC staff follow up with DAS for next steps in the process. Commissioner Coffey seconded the motion.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

15. Adjournment

Commissioner Mueting asked for a motion to adjourn.

Motion was made by Commissioner Coffey and seconded by Commissioner Elworth.

The motion was adopted with Commissioners Mueting, Coffey, and Elworth voting in favor.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 2:36 PM.